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The title compound, $\left\{\left[\mathrm{Ag}_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{4}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right]\right.$-$\left.12 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right\}_{n}$, consists of a two-dimensional $\mathrm{Ag}^{\mathrm{I}}$-hexamethylenetetramine ( 6,3 ) net pillared by the $2,2^{\prime}$-(piperazine-1,4-diyl)bis(ethanesulfonate) ligand, which lies across a centre of inversion. This compound can also be viewed as a $(3,4)$ connected topology by considering the hexamethylenetetramine ligand and the $\mathrm{Ag}^{\mathrm{I}}$ ion as the three- and four-connected nodes, respectively. There is a one-dimensional channel along the $a$ axis accommodating a water chain assembled by the $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{12}$ clusters.

## Comment

Increasing attention is being paid to one-dimensional water chains because of their potential application in the biological transport of water, protons and ions (Ludwig, 2001; Konozo et al., 2002; Roux et al., 1999; Sreenivasulu et al., 2004). Recently, metal-organic frameworks (MOFs) (Eddaoudi et al., 2001), in which the isolated metal centre or the metal clusters are joined through the organic linkers to form an extended structure, have become a promising research field due to the vital roles of MOFs in gas separation, asymmetric catalysis and enantioselective separation. Meanwhile, the rational design of MOFs is conducive to the construction of a channel or cavity in the extended structure, providing a unique opportunity to encapsulate the water chains or water clusters. Among the family of MOFs, pillared-layer structures, with well defined
pores and structural diversity by modification of the pillar module, have been extensively investigated (Ren et al., 2009). We report herein a three-dimensional pillared-layer framework, $\left\{\left[\left\{\mathrm{Ag}^{\mathrm{I}} L 1\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right\}_{2} L 2\right] \cdot 12 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right\}_{n}$, (I), where $L 1$ is hexamethylenetetramine and $L 2$ is $2,2^{\prime}$-(piperazine- 1,4 -diyl)bis(ethanesulfonate), with a ( 3,4 )-connected topology accommodating a water chain which is made up of $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{12}$ clusters in the one-dimensional channel.

(I)

The single-crystal X-ray diffraction study reveals that compound (I) is monoclinic and crystallizes in the centrosymmetric space group $P 2_{1} / n$. There are one $\mathrm{Ag}^{1}$ ion, one $L 1$ ligand, half an $L 2$ ligand, which lies across a centre of inversion, and seven water molecules in the asymmetric unit. All $\mathrm{Ag}^{1}$ ions show a distorted five-coordinate trigonal-bipyramidal configuration ( $\zeta=0.6135$ ) (Anthony et al., 1984) with $\mathrm{N} 1, \mathrm{~N} 3^{\mathrm{i}}$ and $\mathrm{N} 4^{\mathrm{ii}}$ [symmetry codes: (i) $x-\frac{1}{2},-y+\frac{1}{2}, z-\frac{1}{2}$; (ii) $x-1, y, z]$ atoms from three $L 1$ ligands in equatorial positions and two O atoms in axial positions (Fig. 1). The coordinated


Figure 1
A view of the local coordination of the $\mathrm{Ag}^{\mathrm{I}}$ atom in (I), showing the atomnumbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the $30 \%$ probability level. All H atoms and isolated water molecules have been omitted for clarity. [Symmetry codes: (i) $x-\frac{1}{2},-y+\frac{1}{2}, z-\frac{1}{2}$; (ii) $x-1, y, z$; (vii) $-x+1,-y+1,-z+1$.]
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Figure 2
(a) The connective environment of the $\mathrm{Ag}^{\mathrm{I}}$ ion and the $L 1$ ligand; $(b)$ the $(6,3)$ net; $(c)$ a three-dimensional $(3,4)$-connected topology.
water interacts with the $\mathrm{Ag}^{\mathrm{I}}$ ion very weakly, with a bond length of 3.077 (2) $\AA . \mathrm{Ag}^{\mathrm{I}}-\mathrm{N}$ bonds in the range 2.334 (2)2.381 (2) $\AA$ and the $\mathrm{Ag}^{\mathrm{I}}-\mathrm{O}$ (sulfonate) bond length [2.514 (2) A; Table 1] are similar to previously reported values (Liu et al., 2009), while $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Ag}^{\mathrm{I}}-\mathrm{N}$ angles are in the range $113.10(8)-130.21(8)^{\circ}$. All the piperazine rings in the $L 2$ ligands adopt the most stable chair configuration, a finding similar to that of previous work (Sun et al., 2004). Since the $\mathrm{Ag}^{\mathrm{I}}$ ion connects three $L 1$ ligands and the $L 1$ ligand coordinates with three $\mathrm{Ag}^{\mathrm{I}}$ ions as well (Fig. 2a), the $\mathrm{Ag}^{\mathrm{I}}$-hexamethylenetetramine layer can be viewed as a two-dimensional $(6,3)$ net (Fig. 2b). It is worth noting that the adjacent $\mathrm{Ag} \cdots \mathrm{Ag}$ distances which are similar $[5.9827$ (4), 6.3421 (4) and 6.3902 (5) $\AA$ ] show the hexagonal nature of the layer. As shown in Fig. 2(c), pillared by the $L 2$ ligands, compound (I)


Figure 3
(a) A water chain along the $c$ axis; $(b)$ the $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{12}$ clusters; $(c)$ a cyclic pentamer; $(d)$ the simplified structure of the $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{12}$ clusters. [Symmetry codes: (iii) $-x+1,-y,-z+1$.]
can also be presented as a $(3,4)$-connected topology by considering the $L 1$ ligand and $\mathrm{Ag}^{\mathrm{I}}$ ion as three- and fourconnected nodes, respectively. It is of great interest to note that there is a one-dimensional channel along the $a$ axis, providing an available void for the water chain or water cluster.

The fascinating feature of the title compound, (I), is the selfassembly of the $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{12}$ clusters into a water chain within the one-dimensional channel of the $(3,4)$-connected topology. As shown in Fig. 3(a), the $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{12}$ clusters are composed of the $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{10}$ clusters and two pendent waters $\left(\mathrm{O} 4 W\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{O} 4 W^{\text {iii }}\right)$ (Fig. 3b). Five water molecules ( $\mathrm{O} 1 W, \mathrm{O} 2 W, \mathrm{O} 5 W, \mathrm{O} 6 W$ and O7W) and their symmetry-related equivalents form a centrosymmetric decamer, which can also be viewed as two cyclic pentamers bridged across the inversion centre. Besides the cyclic hexamers (O1W, O5W, O6W and their symmetryrelated equivalents) with a chair conformation, which have also been found in previous structures containing $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{12}$ clusters (Song et al., 2007), cyclic pentamers and octamers (O1W, O2W, O5W, O7W and their symmetry-related equivalents) are observed in these clusters. The hydrogenbonding distances between pairs of O atoms of the water cluster are in the range 2.740 (3) -2.866 (4) $\AA$, resulting in an average of $2.808 \AA$, while the angles of the hydrogen bonds among $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{12}$ clusters span the range $150-178^{\circ}$ (Table 2). The 14 hydrogen bonds are mainly responsible for the stability of the $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{12}$ clusters. The individual $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{12}$ clusters are connected through $\mathrm{O} 6 W-\mathrm{H} 62 \cdots \mathrm{O} 7 W^{\mathrm{vi}}$ hydrogen bonds (symmetry codes as in Table 2), generating an extended water chain which is further anchored into the one-dimensional channel by hydrogen-bonding interactions between the guest water chain and host framework (O3W-H31ㅇO $2^{i}$, $\mathrm{O} 3 W-\mathrm{H} 32 \cdots \mathrm{O} 3^{\mathrm{iv}}$, $\mathrm{O} 4 W-\mathrm{H} 42 \cdots \mathrm{O} 3^{\mathrm{v}}, \mathrm{O} 5 W-\mathrm{H} 52 \cdots \mathrm{~N} 5^{\mathrm{v}}$ and O6W-H61 $\cdots \mathrm{N} 2)$.

## Experimental

2,2'-(Piperazine-1,4-diyl)bis(ethanesulfonic acid) ( $0.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.15 \mathrm{~g}$ ) and hexamethylenetetramine ( $0.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.07 \mathrm{~g}$ ) were added to an aqueous solution ( 10 ml ) of silver acetate $(0.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.084 \mathrm{~g})$. After stirring for 15 min , the precipitate was dissolved by dropwise addition of an aqueous solution of $\mathrm{NH}_{3}(14 \mathrm{M})$. Colourless crystals of complex (I) were obtained by evaporation of the solution for 2 d at room temperature.

## Crystal data

$\left[\mathrm{Ag}_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{4}\right)_{2}-\right.$
$\left.\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right] \cdot 12 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
$M_{r}=1048.70$
Monoclinic, $P 2_{h} / n$
$a=6.3902$ (3) A
$b=31.1619(14) \AA$
$c=10.5428(5) \AA$
Data collection
Bruker-Nonius KappaCCD
diffractometer
Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996)
$T_{\text {min }}=0.200, T_{\text {max }}=0.303$

## Refinement

$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.030$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.094$
$S=1.14$
4128 reflections
$\beta=93.770(1)^{\circ}$
$V=2094.85(17) \AA^{3}$
$Z=2$
Mo $K \alpha$ radiation
$\mu=1.12 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$T=165 \mathrm{~K}$
$0.41 \times 0.28 \times 0.19 \mathrm{~mm}$

11631 measured reflections 4128 independent reflections 3382 reflections with $2 \sigma(I)$ $R_{\text {int }}=0.030$

All H atoms bound to C atoms were refined using a riding model, with a C -H distance of $0.97 \AA$ and $U_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{H})$ values of $1.2 U_{\mathrm{eq}}(\mathrm{C})$ for $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ atoms. The water H atoms were located in a difference Fourier map and their positions were initially refined under the application of an $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ bond-length restraint of 0.85 (1) $\AA$. In the final refinement, these H atoms were constrained to ride on their parent atom with $U_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{H})$ values set at $1.5 U_{\text {eq }}(\mathrm{O})$.

Data collection: SMART (Bruker, 1997); cell refinement: SAINT (Bruker, 1999); data reduction: SAINT; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: SHELXTL-Plus (Sheldrick, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXL97.
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Table 1
Selected geometric parameters ( $\AA,{ }^{\circ}$ ).

| $\mathrm{Ag} 1-\mathrm{N} 3^{\mathrm{i}}$ | $2.334(2)$ | $\mathrm{Ag} 1-\mathrm{N} 1$ | $2.381(2)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| $\mathrm{Ag} 1-\mathrm{N} 4^{\mathrm{ii}}$ | $2.336(2)$ | $\mathrm{Ag} 1-\mathrm{O} 1$ | $2.514(2)$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N} 3^{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{Ag} 1-\mathrm{N} 4^{\mathrm{ii}}$ | $130.21(8)$ | $\mathrm{N} 3^{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{Ag} 1-\mathrm{O} 1$ | $86.47(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 3^{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{Ag} 1-\mathrm{N} 1$ | $114.09(8)$ | $\mathrm{N} 4^{\mathrm{ii}}-\mathrm{Ag} 1-\mathrm{O} 1$ | $106.97(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 4^{\mathrm{ii}}-\mathrm{Ag} 1-\mathrm{N} 1$ | $113.10(8)$ | $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{Ag} 1-\mathrm{O} 1$ | $91.88(8)$ |

Symmetry codes: (i) $x-\frac{1}{2},-y+\frac{1}{2}, z-\frac{1}{2}$; (ii) $x-1, y, z$.
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Hydrogen-bond geometry ( $\AA^{\circ},{ }^{\circ}$ ).

| $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| $\mathrm{O} 2 W-\mathrm{H} 21 \cdots \mathrm{O} 5 W$ | 0.85 | 1.99 | 2.836 (4) | 178 |
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| O6W-H61 $\cdots$. N 2 | 0.85 | 2.05 | 2.890 (4) | 170 |
| O6W-H62 . ${ }^{\text {O } 7 W^{\text {vi }}}$ | 0.85 | 1.99 | 2.797 (3) | 158 |
| $\mathrm{O} 7 W-\mathrm{H} 71 \cdots \mathrm{O} 3 W$ | 0.85 | 2.01 | 2.841 (3) | 167 |
| $\mathrm{O} 7 W-\mathrm{H} 72 \cdots \mathrm{O} 1 W$ | 0.85 | 1.89 | 2.740 (3) | 175 |

Symmetry codes: (i) $x-\frac{1}{2},-y+\frac{1}{2}, z-\frac{1}{2}$; (iii) $-x+1,-y,-z+1$; (iv) $x+\frac{1}{2},-y+\frac{1}{2}$, $z-\frac{1}{2}$; (v) $x+\frac{1}{2},-y+\frac{1}{2}, z+\frac{1}{2}$; (vi) $x+1, y, z$.
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